ing shipment by said corporation in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about April 2 and July 10, 1936, from the State of New York into the States of Connecticut and New Jersey, respectively, of quantities of boric acid that was misbranded. The two lots of the article were labeled in part, respectively: "Boric Acid U. S. P., prepared expressly for Syl-May * * * Stamford, Conn."; "Boric Acid Powdered Pure U. S. P., Zenith Drug, Inc., New York, N. Y."

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements "8 ounces" and "4 Oz., borne on the labels, were false and misleading since they represented that each of the packages contained 8 ounces in the case of one lot and 4 ounces in the case of the other; whereas each of the packages did not contain the said amounts but did contain less amounts.

On September 8, 1937, a plea of guilty was entered and the defendant was sentenced to pay a fine of \$20.

W. R. Gregg, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

28705. Misbranding of Renolin. U. S. v. 33 Bottles of Renolin. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. No. 40950. Sample Nos. 11994-C, 55076-C.)

The labeling of this product bore false and fraudulent curative and therapeutic claims. It also conveyed the impression that the article could be used without ill effects, whereas its use might produce serious ill effects.

On November 29, 1937, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 33 bottles of Renolin at Boston, Mass., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about July 9 and October 18, 1937, from Bradford, N. H., by the Renolin Co., and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended.

Analysis of the article showed that each tablet contained approximately 5 grains of cinchophen, 1.5 grains of aminopyrine, 2.8 grains of calcium carbonate,

and a trace of phenolphthalein.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the following statements appearing upon the package and in a circular contained in the package were false and misleading since they created the impression that the article might be consumed in accordance with the directions without risk of ill effects; whereas it might not be so consumed but only with the risk of serious ill effects: (Bottle label) "A Relief for Rheumatism (Uric Acid Eliminent) * * * 1 or 2 Tablets with a glassful of Water after each meal and at bedtime"; (carton) "A Relief for Pain Articular and Muscular of Neuralgias Rheumatism Lumbago Sciatica and Gout * * * One or two Tablets with a glassful of water after each meal and at bedtime"; (circular) "For the Relief of Pain Articular and Muscular of Neuralgias, Rheumatism, Lumbago, Sciatica and Gout, Renolin contains no * * Narcotics nor Habit Forming Drugs and does not harm the heart. Directions Take one or two tablets a short time after each meal and at bedtime as needed. Wash tablets down with a glassful of water. When prolonged treatment is necessary and the heavier dosage is employed, it is recommended that at the end of three or four days, the tablets be stopped entirely for three days and then resumed as before. * * * when needed Sodium Phosphate taken before breakfast, is highly recommended for keeping the bowels in proper condition. A tickling sensation or gas on the stomach occasionally takes place from the use of Renolin. This condition seldom occurs if plenty of water is consumed and may be entirely overcome by taking one-half teaspoonful of Bicarbonate of Soda (common soda) dissolved in the glassful of water with which the tablets are swallowed. In all cases drink plenty of good pure water. Renolin being antirheumatic * * * more efficient, rapid and less irritating in action and in many respects more desirable for the treatment of rheumatic pains, many prefer Further misbranding was alleged in that the foregoing statements Renolin." were false and fraudulent since they created the impression that the article was a safe and appropriate remedy for the disorders mentioned, when it was a dangerous drug.

On February 14, 1938, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

W. R. Gregg, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

28706. Misbranding of Dr. Grabill's Prescription No. 1313. U. S. v. Hi-Test Laboratories, Inc. Plea of nolo contendere. Judgment of guilty. Fine, \$100 and costs. (F. & D. No. 36980. Sample Nos. 32280-B, 32654-B.)

This product was misbranded because of false and fraudulent curative and therapeutic claims in the labeling. It also was labeled to indicate that it was